## Comments on 2010 Great Basin Network Plan

Please update next year's annual network plan to reflect the following comments:

- The monitoring objectives and purposes assigned to each monitoring site should be consistent with EPA definitions. 40 CFR 58 App. D 1.1.1 outlines the six general monitoring site types, which are more commonly referred to as monitoring purpose:
  - (a) Highest Concentration
  - (b) Population Oriented
  - (c) Source Impact
  - (d) Background
  - (e) Transport
  - (f) Visibility Impacts

Tables 2 and 3 should be updated and combined to clearly reflect the monitoring purpose and spatial scales for each site. Also, Table 3 should be updated to reflect the requirements in Table D-1 in 40 CFR 58 App. D, which outlines the appropriate relationship between the various monitoring purposes and spatial scales.

- The site reports in Appendix C do not contain any information pertaining to semi-annual flow audits. The specific dates for the last two semi-annual flow audits should be included in each applicable site report.
- The designation of each monitor (e.g. FRM, FEM, or Non-Regulatory) and the respective method code should be included in the site reports.
- The site reports from Keeler only contain information from a continuous PM<sub>2.5</sub> FDMS TEOM and two PM<sub>10</sub> TEOMs. The site reports do not include information for the collocated filter based PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> instruments.
- The site report from Mammoth Lakes does not include information from the filter based PM<sub>10</sub> instrument located at the site.
- Section 6.0 states that the district is responsible for monitoring in the Searles Valley nonattainment area, though the plan does not include information from this area and AQS indicates that the support agency is Mojave Desert AQMD. Clarification on this issue would be very helpful.